Spectral analysis for $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}$

Erez Lapid

§6 (February 27, 2009)

Maass-Selberg Relation:

$$\begin{split} \Lambda^{T}\varphi(z) &= \varphi^{T}(z) := \begin{cases} \varphi(z) & \text{Im}z < T;\\ \varphi(z) - \varphi_{P}(y) & \text{Im}z > T. \end{cases} \\ \left(E^{T}(\cdot, s_{1}), \overline{E^{T}(\cdot, s_{2})}\right)_{\mathcal{F}} &= \frac{T^{s_{1}+s_{2}-1}}{s_{1}+s_{2}-1} + \frac{\phi(s_{1})T^{s_{2}-s_{1}}}{s_{2}-s_{1}} + \frac{\phi(s_{2})T^{s_{1}-s_{2}}}{s_{1}-s_{2}} \\ &+ \frac{T^{1-s_{1}-s_{2}}}{1-s_{1}-s_{2}}\phi(s_{1})\phi(s_{2}) \end{split}$$

where $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. The poles coming from the denominations cancel: $s_1 + s_2 = 1$, $s_1 = s_2$. We have

$$E_P(y) = y^s + \phi(s)y^{1-s},$$

where

$$\phi(s) = \frac{\zeta^*(2s-1)}{\zeta^*(2s)},$$

note that $\overline{\phi(s)} = \phi(\overline{s})$, and in particular, $|\phi(s)| = 1$ for $\operatorname{Re} s = \frac{1}{2}$, and hence holomorphic. Take $s_2 = \overline{s_1}, s_1 = \sigma + i\tau, \tau \neq 0, \sigma > \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\left\|\Lambda^{T}E(s)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F})}^{2} = \frac{T^{2\sigma-1}}{2\sigma-1} + \frac{T^{1-2\sigma}}{1-2\sigma}|\phi(s)|^{2} + \frac{T^{2i\tau}}{2i\tau}\overline{\phi(s)} - \frac{T^{-2i\tau}}{2i\tau}\phi(s).$$
(1.1)

Recall E and ϕ has only simple pole at $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{1}{2}$ and they are all real.

What are the residues?

- (i) $\underset{s=s_0}{\operatorname{Res}}E(s)$ is square-integrable $\in L^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}),$
- (ii)eigenfunction of Δ is $\lambda = s_0(1 s_0)$.

Proof.

$$(s-s_0)\Delta E(z;s) = (s-s_0)s(1-s)E(z;s)$$

take limit as $s \to s_0$ and we get the second assert.

$$E_P(y) = y^s + \phi(s)y^{1-s} \Rightarrow (\operatorname{Res} E(s))_P = \operatorname{Res}_{s=s_0} \phi(s)y^{1-s_0},$$

since $\operatorname{Res} E(s) - (\operatorname{Res} E(s))_P$ is rapidly decreasing, so we have

$$\operatorname{Res} E(s) \in L^2(\mathcal{F}) \leftrightarrow (\operatorname{Res} E(s))_P \in L^2(\mathcal{F}).$$

We have

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} (y^{1-s_0})^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y}{y^2} = \int_0^{+\infty} y^{2(1-s_0)} \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{y^2} < +\infty,$$

where c > 0, and $-2s_0 < -1$. Hence $(\operatorname{Res} E(s))_P \in L^2(\mathcal{F})$.

Multiplying (1.1) on both sides by τ^2 and taking $\tau \to 0$, we have

$$\left\|\lim_{\tau \to 0} \Lambda^T \tau E(s_0 + i\tau)\right\|^2 = \left\|\Lambda^T \operatorname{Res}_{s=s_0} E\right\|^2$$
$$= \frac{T^{1-2s_0}}{1-2s_0} \left|\operatorname{Res}_{s=s_0} \phi\right|^2 + \operatorname{Res} \phi.$$

Taking $T \to \infty$, We get

$$\left\|\Lambda^T \operatorname{Res} E\right\|^2 \longrightarrow \left\|\operatorname{Res} E\right\|_{L^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})}^2 = \operatorname{Res} \phi.$$

In our case, the only pole (for $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{1}{2}$) is at s = 1 and $\operatorname{Res} E = \text{constant}$. This is true whenever Γ is a congruence subgroup, i.e.,

$$\Gamma = \operatorname{Ker}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})).$$

In other cases, there are additional residues. Let us see why Res is a constant. It is an eigenfunction with eigenvalue 0 and it is in $L^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$. Therefore it is a constant.

Finally, we get

$$c = \underset{s=1}{\operatorname{Res}}E(z,s) = \underset{s=1}{\operatorname{Res}}\phi(s).$$
 (independent of z)

By (1.2)

$$c^2 \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}) = c \to c = \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})^{-1}$$

In the case of $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have $\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}) = \frac{\pi}{3}$.

Remark. We know that E(z; s) has a pole at s = 1 since E(z; s) is positive and does not converge at s = 1.

Spectral Expansion for Δ on $L^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$.

On $L^2_{\text{cusp}}(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$, Δ is discrete, so there exists an orthonormal basis of cusp forms $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ such that $(\Delta + \lambda_j)u_j = 0$ and $\varphi \in L^2_{\text{cusp}}(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$, $\varphi(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\varphi, u_j)u_j$, $\|\varphi\|^2 = \sum |(\varphi, u_j)|^2$. Question. What about $L^2_{\text{cusp}}(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})^{\perp}$?

It is the closure of $E_f = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty} \setminus \Gamma} f(\operatorname{Im} \gamma z), f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$. Recall

$$(E_f, \varphi)_{\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}} = \int_0^{+\infty} f(y) \overline{\varphi_P}(y) \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{y^2}.$$

Thus, if $(E_f, \varphi)_{\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}} = 0$, $\forall f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, we have the right hand side = 0, then $\varphi_P(y) \equiv 0$, and so $\varphi \in L^2_{\text{cusp}}(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$.

It remains to study the spectral theory of Δ on the space of E_f . Recall

$$E_f(z) = \int_{\operatorname{Res}=s_0} \hat{f}(s) E(z;s) \mathrm{d}s,$$

provided that $s_0 > 1$. Also,

$$(E_f, E_g) = \int_{\operatorname{Re} s=s_0} \hat{f}(s) \left[\hat{\overline{g}}(1-s) + \phi(s)\hat{\overline{g}}(s) \right] \mathrm{d}s,$$

where

$$\hat{f}(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}>0} f(x) x^{-s} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x}$$

Shifting the contour to $\operatorname{Re} s = \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$\int_{\operatorname{Res}=\frac{1}{2}} \hat{f}(s) \left[\hat{\overline{g}}(1-s) + \phi(s)\hat{\overline{g}}(s) \right] \mathrm{d}s + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Res}_{s=s_j} \phi(s)\hat{f}(s_j)\hat{\overline{g}}(s_j),$$

where $s_n, \dots, s_m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s_n, \dots, s_m > \frac{1}{2}$ are the poles of ϕ .

Claim.

(i)
$$\hat{f}(s) \left[\hat{\overline{g}}(1-s) + \phi(s)\hat{\overline{g}}(s) \right] = \frac{1}{2} (E_f, E(s))_{\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}} (E(s), E(g))_{\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}};$$

(ii) $\hat{f}(s_j) = (E_f, \underset{s=s_j}{\operatorname{Res}} E / \|\operatorname{Res}} E\|^2).$

Proof. 1) We have computed

$$(E_f, E(s)) = \hat{f}(1 - \bar{s}) + \bar{\phi}(s)\hat{f}(\bar{s}), \qquad (1.2)$$

and

$$(E(s), E_g) = \overline{\overline{g}}(1-s) + \phi(s)\overline{\overline{g}}(s).$$

For $\operatorname{Re} s = \frac{1}{2}$, $1 - \overline{s} = s$, $|\phi(s)| = 1$, combine the last two identities and we get (i).

2) Taking Res of (1.3), we have

$$(E_f, \operatorname{Res} E(s)) = \operatorname{Res} \phi \hat{f}(s_j),$$

using $\|\operatorname{Res} E\|^2 = \operatorname{Res} \phi(s_j)$, we get (ii).

Theorem. Let $\{u_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions of Δ , $(\Delta + \lambda_j)u_j = 0$, then for any $\varphi \in L^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$, we have

$$\varphi = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\varphi, u_j) u_j + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\operatorname{Res}=\frac{1}{2}} (\varphi, E(\cdot, s)) E(\cdot, s) ds$$

where

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\varphi, u_j) u_j$$

is the discrete part which contains the cuspidal forms and the residues of Eisenstein series at $s > \frac{1}{2}$, and

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\operatorname{Res}=\frac{1}{2}} \left(\varphi, E(\cdot, s)\right) E(\cdot, s) ds$$

is the continuous part which converges if ϕ is "nice".

$$-\Delta\varphi = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j(\varphi, u_j)u_j + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\operatorname{Res}=\frac{1}{2}} s(1-s)\left(\varphi, E(\cdot, s)\right) E(\cdot, s) \mathrm{d}s.$$

We can write $0 = \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots$ and

$$u_0 = \frac{1}{\left(\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H})\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

which is a constant. For $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, $u_j(j > 0)$ are cuspidal forms. Special case: If k is a point-pair invariant function, recall

$$K(z,w) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} k(z,\gamma_{\omega}),$$

In order to expand $K(\cdot, \omega)$, we write $\lambda_j = s_j(1 - s_j)$, and $s_j = \frac{1}{2} + it_j$. we know that

$$\int K(z,w)\overline{u_j(z)}d\mu(z) = h(t_j)\overline{u_j(\omega)},$$

where h is the Selberg transform of k. Finally, we get

$$K(z,\omega) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h(t_j) u_j(z) \overline{u_j(\omega)} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\substack{\operatorname{Res}=\frac{1}{2}\\s=\frac{1}{2}+\operatorname{ir}}} h(r) E(z,s) \overline{E(\omega,s)} ds,$$

which is the spectral expansion of the automorphic kernel.

Another way to work with the spectral expansion is Eisenstein transform

$$f \mapsto E_f = \int_0^\infty f(r)E(z, \frac{1}{2} + \mathrm{i}r)\mathrm{d}r,$$

where $f \in C(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$, and

$$\begin{split} \|E_f\|_{L^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})}^2 &= \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0})}, \\ \varphi &= \sum_j (\varphi, u_j)u_j + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int (\varphi, E) E ds, \\ (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)_{\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}} &= \sum_j (\varphi_1, u_j)(u_j, \varphi_2) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\operatorname{Res} = \frac{1}{2}} (\varphi_1, E(s))(E(s), \varphi_2) ds \end{split}$$

Continuous part of the spectrum of Δ on $L^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$ is well-understood. and it is $-[\frac{1}{4}, +\infty)$,

$$\Delta E(\frac{1}{2} + \mathrm{i}t) = -\left|\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathrm{i}t\right)\right|^2 E(\frac{1}{2} + \mathrm{i}t).$$

What about discrete part?

Question.

i) $\sharp \{j : \lambda_j \leq T\} \sim ?$

ii) What can λ_1 be? λ_1 controls the error term in various problems, such as hyperbolic lattice point counting problem.

Selberg eigenvalue conjecture.

$$\lambda_1(\Gamma_N \setminus \mathbb{H}) \ge \frac{1}{4}$$

i.e, cuspidal spectrum \subseteq continuous spectrum, where $\Gamma_N = \text{Ker}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}))$. One interesting thing is that forms with eigenvalue $\frac{1}{4}$ are expected to come from arithmetic (Galois representation).

Prop. (Selberg)

$$\lambda_1 \left(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H} \right) \ge \frac{3}{2} \pi^2.$$

Proof. Let u_1 be a cusp form with $||u_1|| = 1$, $u_1 = \sum_{n \neq 0} c_n(y) e(nx)$,

$$\lambda_1 = -(\Delta u_1, u_1)_{\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}} = \int_{\mathcal{F}} |y \nabla u_1(z)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mu(z),$$

where

$$(\Delta f, f) = \|\nabla f\|^2.$$

Let

$$\omega = \left(\begin{array}{c} 1\\ -1 \end{array}\right),$$

we have

$$\mathcal{F} \bigcup \omega \mathcal{F} \supseteq \{ z \in \mathbb{H}, |\operatorname{Re} z| < \frac{1}{2}, \operatorname{Im} z > \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \}.$$

Then

$$2\lambda = \int_{\mathcal{F} \bigcup \omega \mathcal{F}} |y \nabla u_1(z)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mu(z) \ge \int_{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}}^{\infty} \int_0^1 |y \nabla u_1(z)|^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y}{y^2}$$
$$\ge 3\pi^2 \int_{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}}^{+\infty} \sum_{n \ne 0} |c_n(y)|^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{y^2} = 3\pi^2 \int_{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}}^{\infty} \int_0^1 |u_1(x+iy)|^2 \mathrm{d}x \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{y^2}$$
$$\ge 3\pi^2 \int_{\mathcal{F}} |u_1(z)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu(z) = 3\pi^2.$$

This argument also gives $\lambda_1 > \frac{1}{4}$ for other Γ_N for small N. But there are examples of $\Gamma's$ (non-congruence), where $\lambda_1 < \frac{1}{4}$ (in fact, λ_1 can be as arbitrarily close to 0).

Small application of Eisenstein series. Recall

$$E(z,s) = \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{(0,0)\}} \frac{1}{Q_2((m,n))^s}$$

has an arithmetic meaning for special z (CM points, $z^2+rz+q=0,\ r,q\in\mathbb{Z}).$

Suppose d is a square free number satisfying $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, d < 0. Denote V as the set of solutions of quadratic equations of discriminant d, and let $\Lambda_d = \Gamma \setminus V$, then we have

$$\sum_{z \in \Lambda_d} E(z, s) = \zeta_{K_d}(s) = \zeta(s)L(s, \chi_d),$$

where $K_d = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is an imaginary quadratic number field. In fact,

 $\begin{array}{ll} \Lambda_d & \Leftrightarrow & \text{ideal classes of } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) \\ & \Leftrightarrow & \sim \setminus \{ \text{ binary quadratic forms of discriminat } d \} \,. \end{array}$

Consider

$$\sum_{z \in \Lambda_d} E(z; s) = \zeta_{K_d}(s) = \zeta(s) L(x, \chi_d).$$

Assume RH is FALSE, i.e., there exists s_0 , $\text{Res}_0 > \frac{1}{2}$ such that $\zeta(s_0) = 0$, then we have

$$\sum_{z \in \Lambda_d} E(z; s_0) = 0$$

We will show that h(d) can not be 1 for d unbounded, i.e., there are only finitely many imaginary quadratic fields with class number 1.

Proof. $E(s_0) - E_P(s_0)$ is rapidly decreasing as $y \to \infty$. If h(d) = 1, $\Lambda_d = \{\frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\}$, then $E(\lambda_d) = 0$.

On the other hand,

$$E(\lambda_d) = |d|^{s_0} + \phi(s_0)|d|^{1-s_0} + O(|d|^{-N})$$

implies that

$$E(\lambda_d) \neq 0$$

for d large, because $\operatorname{Re}(s_0) > \frac{1}{2}$ and $|d^{s_0}| = d^{\operatorname{Res}_0}$.

Deuning (1920s)

This argument was pushed by Siegel to show that $h(d) \sim \sqrt{|d|}$ ineffectively (clear under GRH).

Goldfeld-Gross-Zagier (1980s) roughly proved that $h(d) \gg \log d$ effectively, the upper bound $h(d) \ll \sqrt{|d|} \log d$ is trivial.

Main impact. Existence of high order zeros at $\frac{1}{2}$ for L-functions.