# Spectral analysis for $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}$

### Erez Lapid

### §1 Introduction to automorphic forms (February 17, 2009)

What is an automorphic form? Automorphic forms are generalization of periodic functions, i.e.,

$$f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}, f(x+1) = f(x).$$

### Two important things:

(i) We have an explicit basis of periodic functions, i.e., e(mz),  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $e(z) = e^{2\pi i z}$ .

(ii) We can expand any periodic function explicitly in terms of e(mz), i.e.,

$$f(z) = \sum a_f(m)e(mz), \ a_f(m) = (f, e(mz)).$$

**Poisson Summation Formula (PSF)** For any Schwarz function f on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(n)$$

where

$$\hat{f}(y) = \int f(x)e(xy)\mathrm{d}x.$$

A typical application of PSF : Suppose  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $f^{(n)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  (e.g.  $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}$ ), then for any k > 0 we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{X}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}f\left(\frac{n}{X}\right)-\int_{\mathbb{R}}f(x)\mathrm{d}x\right|=O_{k}(X^{-k}).$$

Note that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) dx = \hat{f}(0)$ .

Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a discrete group, which acts discontinuously on a locally compact space X, i.e.  $\Gamma \times X \to X$  is a proper map.  $\forall C \subseteq X$  is compact, the set

$$\{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma C \cap C \neq \emptyset\}$$

is finite. An **automorphic function** on X is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant function on X, i.e.,  $f : X \to C$ ,  $f(\gamma x) = f(x), \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, x \in X$ .

A particular case : X is a symmetric space (certain Riemannian manifold). 3 kinds according to sectional curvature.

(I) Euclidian space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (flat).

(II)  $S^n$ , sphere (compact type).

(III) G/K, G is a semi-simple algebraic lie group, K is a maximal compact subgroup of G (K is unique up to conjugation).

**EX.** (I)  $G = SL_n(\mathbb{R}), K = SO_n(\mathbb{R})$ , then G/K is a space of positive definite *n*-forms.

(II)  $G = SL_n(\mathbb{C}), K = SU(n)$ , then G/K is a space of positive definite Hermitian n-forms.

(III) G = SO(n, 1), K = SO(n), then G/K is a hyperbolic n-space  $(\mathbb{H}^n)$ .

Let X = G/K. Mostly, we work with  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})/SO(2) = \mathbb{H}^2$ , which is a two dimensional hyperbolic space, i.e., the upper half plane  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : z = x + iy, y > 0\}$ . Let  $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  act on X by Möbius transformations

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}a&b\\c&d\end{array}\right)z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}.$$

Let  $K = SO(2) = \operatorname{Stab}(i)$  and  $\Gamma \subseteq G$  be discrete. G acts on  $X \rightsquigarrow \Gamma$  acts on X.  $\Gamma$  acts discontinuously on X. Mostly,  $\Gamma$  will be of finite co-volume in G (X = G/K is a Riemannian metric,  $T_{\rho}(\frac{G}{K}) = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k} = \operatorname{Lie}(G)/\operatorname{Lie}(K)$ . In fact, let [,] be the Killing form on  $\operatorname{Lie}(G)$ .  $\mathfrak{k}$  is a maximal subspace on which [,] is negative definite. Then the Killing form on the orthogonal complement of  $\operatorname{Lie}(K)$  in  $\operatorname{Lie}(G)$  is positive definite. It gives rise to a Riemannian metric on X). That is  $\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \setminus X) < \infty$ . Volume form on  $X \Rightarrow$  volume form on  $\Gamma \setminus X$ .

Many important cases of such  $\Gamma$ .

**Def.** A lattice  $\Gamma$  of G is a discrete subgroup of G of finite co-volume, i.e.,

$$\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \setminus X) < \infty.$$

It is called uniform if  $\Gamma \setminus X$  is compact  $\Leftrightarrow \Gamma \setminus G$  is compact.

**EX.** (1) Let  $G = SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\Gamma = SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . One can show that  $\Gamma$  is a lattice (part of reduction theory, Hermite Minkowski, Borel, Harish-Chandra).

(2) For  $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $X = \mathbb{H}$ . There are many examples of uniform lattices. Any compact Riemann Surface with genus > 1 can be uniformized by  $\mathbb{H} \Longrightarrow M = \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}$ , where  $\Gamma$  is a uniform lattice of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . That is, there are continuous families of uniform lattices of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Similarly, there are continuous families of non-uniform lattices.

For other G, the situation is very different. If G has rank > 1 ( $SL_m$ ,  $m \ge 3$ ), more or less, all lattices  $\Gamma$  are obtained as  $G(\mathbb{Z})$  (Margulis)(i.e. they are arithmetic. The notion of arithmeticity is somewhat subtle. It will not be defined here ).

Back to  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  case, we have many lattices  $\Gamma$ 's. Some are more special than others. Denote

 $\operatorname{Comm}(\Gamma) = \{g \in G : g\Gamma g^{-1} \cap \Gamma \text{ is of finite index in } \Gamma\}$ 

which is a subgroup of G. Obviously,  $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Comm}(\Gamma)$ .

**Theorem (Margulis)**  $[\text{Comm}(\Gamma) : \Gamma] = \infty \Leftrightarrow \Gamma$  is arithmetic.

**Example.**  $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  or a finite index subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . "  $\Leftarrow$  " is easy for  $\Gamma$ , because  $\operatorname{Comm}(\Gamma) = SL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ . This property of  $\Gamma$  is very important because it gives rise to additional symmetries (Hecke operators). We consider functions on  $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}$ . This does not include modular forms, because of the weight factor.

We can refer to references [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6].

# Basic goal: spectral decomposition (mostly for $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ )

First step : study G/K (Harish-Chandra, Helgason, Gangolli).

Weyl law : Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension d.  $\Delta$ -Laplace operator  $(2^{nd} \text{ order differential operator})$  which is an unbounded non-positive self-adjoint on  $L^2(M, d\mu)$  with pure point spectrum.

$$\Delta \varphi_j + \lambda_j^2 \varphi_j = 0$$

where  $\{\varphi_j\}$  is an orthonormal basis in  $L^2(M)$  and  $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \cdots$ . We have

$$\sharp\{j : \lambda_j \le T\} = C_d \operatorname{vol}(M) T^d + O(T^{d-1}),$$

where  $C_d$  depends only on d.

**EX.** Let  $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$ . Then  $\varphi_{n,m} = e(nx)e(my)$  is an eigenfunction and the eigenvalue  $\lambda_{n,m} = n^2 + m^2$ . By Weyl law we get

$$\sharp\{(n,m) : n^2 + m^2 \le R^2\} = \pi R^2 + O(R).$$

Simplest bound for Gauss circle problem.

The Weyl law holds for  $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}$ , where  $\Gamma$  is a congruence subgroup, e.g.  $\Gamma = \Gamma_N$ , where

$$\Gamma_N = \ker(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})).$$

**Remark.** Not clear how to write down a single non-constant function in  $L^2(SL_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H})$ , which is an eigenfunction of  $\Delta$ .

To show that Selberg invented the trace formula which is a broad generalization of the Poisson Summation Formula.

Other applications of spectral theoretical methods.

Lattice point counting problem. Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $\Gamma$  act discontinuously on it. Given  $x_0 \in X$ , we have  $\{\gamma \in \Gamma : d(\gamma x_0, x_0) < R\}$  is finite.

What is the asymptotic behavior? (e.g.  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^2$ ,  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $x_0 = 0$ , Gauss circle problem.) For  $\mathbb{H}$ , let  $ds^2 = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{y^2}$  and it is *G*-invariant, where  $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $d\mu = \frac{dxdy}{y^2}$  stand for the measure,  $\Delta = y^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right)$  and  $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then we have

$$\sharp\{(a,b,c,d) : ad - bc = 1, \ a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 \le R\} = 3R + O(R^{\frac{2}{3}}).$$

This is more difficult since in the hyperbolic geometry, the length of the boundary of a circle is proportional to the area !

An analogue of the prime number theorem for closed geodesics.

**Theorem (Selberg).** For  $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , we have

$$\sum_{\substack{r \text{ closed} \\ \text{odesic in } \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H} \\ l(r) < \log X}} l(r) = X + O(X^{\frac{3}{4}}).$$

(closely related to fundamental units of real quadratic fields.)

M. Kac : Can you hear the shape of a drum? Let M be a Riemannian surface,  $\triangle$  be Laplace operator and  $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \cdots$ . Do these eigenvalues determine M?

No, we have  $\Gamma_1 \setminus \mathbb{H} \neq \Gamma_2 \setminus \mathbb{H}$  with same  $\lambda_j$  (Jacquet-Langlands correspondence, special case of Langlands functoriality)

# **Smallest eigenvalue problem.** Let $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \cdots$ be eigenvalues, what is $\lambda_1$ ?

Selberg's  $\frac{1}{4}$  conjecture : If  $\Gamma$  is congruence subgroup  $(\Gamma = \Gamma_N)$ , then  $\lambda_1 \geq \frac{1}{4}$ .

Selberg showed  $\lambda_1 \geq \frac{3}{16}$ . Best known bound is  $\lambda_1 \geq \frac{1}{4} - (\frac{7}{64})^2$ . To prove these results, one needs to consider higher rank symmetric spaces (Kim-Sarnak, Shahidi, need to use  $E_8$ ). The Selberg's  $\frac{1}{4}$  conjecture would follow from general Langlands functoriality.

#### References

- [1] Daniel Bump, Automorphic Forms and Representations.
- [2] A. Borel, Automorphic forms on SL<sub>2</sub>(ℝ). Cambridge Tracts in Math. Vol. 130, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1997.
- [3] S. Gelbert, Automorphic Forms on Adele Groups
- [4] H. Iwaniec, Introduction to the spectral theory of automorphic forms. Revista Matemáca Iberoamericana, Madrid, 1995.
- [5] P. Sarnak, Spectra of hyperbolic surface, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (2003), 441-478.
- [6] Audrey Terras, Harmonic analysis on symmetric spaces and applications I, II.