## Langlands picture of automorphic forms and L-functions

— Lecture series at Shandong University, Mar. 2009

## STEPHEN GELBART

## §6 Irreducible unitary representations of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ (Mar. 19)

Define  $W_s(\Gamma)$  as the space of all smooth functions f on  $\mathbb{H}$  satisfying

(1) f is automorphic, i.e.  $f(\gamma z) = f(z), \forall \gamma \in \Gamma;$ 

(2) f is bounded;

(3) f is cuspidal;

(4)  $\Delta^* f = \frac{1-s^2}{4} f$ , where  $\Delta^* = -y^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right)$ .

The map  $f \mapsto \varphi_f(g) = f(gi)$  gives an isomorphism from  $W_s(\Gamma)$  to the space of smooth functions  $\varphi$  on  $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  satisfying

(1)  $\varphi(\gamma g) = \varphi(g), \, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma;$ 

(2)  $\varphi$  is right K-invariant, i.e.  $\varphi(g\kappa_{\theta}) = \varphi(g), \forall \kappa_{\theta} \in K = SO(2, \mathbb{R});$ 

- (3)  $\varphi$  is bounded;
- (4)  $\varphi$  is cuspidal, i.e.

$$\int_0^1 \varphi\left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) g \right) \mathrm{d}x = 0$$

for almost all  $g \in G$ ; (5)  $\Delta \varphi = \frac{1-s^2}{4} \varphi$ , where

$$\Delta = -y^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) + y \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial \theta}$$

**Remark 1.** In fact, since  $\varphi$  is right K-invariant, we have  $\Delta \varphi = \Delta^* \varphi$ .  $\Delta^*$  is self-adjoint with respect to the Petersson inner product, its eigenvalues are non-negative.

Now we know that both  $S_k(\Gamma)$  and  $W_s(\Gamma)$  are embedded into  $\mathcal{L}^2_0(\Gamma \setminus G)$ , where

 $\mathcal{L}^2_0(\Gamma \backslash G) = \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{L}^2(\Gamma \backslash G), \varphi \text{ is cuspidal} \}$ 

is a G-invariant subspace. We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.1.**  $\mathcal{L}^2_0(\Gamma \setminus G)$  can be decomposed as the direct sum of G-invariant subspaces, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}^2_0(\Gamma \backslash G) = \bigoplus_i H^i$$

Each  $H^i$  is an infinite dimensional irreducible unitary representation of G.

In order to prove Theorem 6.1, we need to answer the following questions.

(1) What are the irreducible unitary representations of  $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ ?

(2) Which of them occur in  $\mathcal{L}^2(\Gamma \setminus G)$ ?

**Theorem 6.2.** The irreducible unitary representations of G are subsets of the induced representations  $(\pi, H), \pi = ind_B^G \chi$ , where  $\chi : B \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  is an irreducible one-dimensional representation of B (quasi-character) given by

$$\chi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & *\\ 0 & a^{-1}\end{array}\right)\right) = sgn(a)^{\epsilon}|a|^{s}, \qquad \epsilon = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

The space H consists of functions  $\varphi$  on G satisfying

$$\varphi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & *\\ 0 & a^{-1}\end{array}\right)g\right) = sgn(a)^{\epsilon}|a|^{s+1}\varphi(g)$$

and

$$\int_{K} |\varphi(\kappa)|^2 \, d\kappa < \infty.$$

 $\pi$  acts on H as right translation, i.e.

$$\pi(g)\varphi(h) = \varphi(hg).$$

Now we recall some facts from Lie theory.

 $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  is a Lie group generated by  $\begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\kappa_{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{g}$  the Lie algebra of G, which is the 3-dimensional trace zero subspace of  $\operatorname{Mat}_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  be the complexification of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is generated by  $W = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $E_{+} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $E_{-} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ -i & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$  be the universal enveloping algebra. The

Casimir element

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{4} \left( W^2 - \frac{E_+ E_-}{2} - \frac{E_- E_+}{2} \right)$$

is in the center of  $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ . It agrees with the Laplacian operator when acts on smooth functions.

Suppose that  $(\pi, V)$  is an irreducible unitary representation of G, where V is complex Hilbert space. For  $X \in \mathfrak{g}, \varphi \in V$ , the endomorphism

$$\left(\mathrm{d}\pi(X)\right)(\varphi) = \left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\pi(e^{tX})\varphi\right)\right|_{t=0} = \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{1}{t}\left(\pi(e^{tX})\varphi - \varphi\right)$$

gives the Lie algebra representation, called the *differential* of the Lie group representation  $\pi$ . We call  $\varphi \in V$  is  $\mathcal{C}^1$ , if  $d\pi(X)$  is defined, and

$$g \mapsto \pi(g) \left( \mathrm{d}\pi(X) f \right)$$

is a continuous function of G. We call  $\varphi$  is  $\mathcal{C}^k$ , if  $\varphi$  is  $\mathcal{C}^1$  and  $d\pi(X)\varphi$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{k-1}$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ . We call  $\varphi$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ , if f is  $\mathcal{C}^k$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Since  $\triangle$  is in the center of  $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ ,  $\triangle$  acts on V as scalar, i.e.

$$\triangle \varphi = \lambda \varphi, \quad \text{ for } \varphi \in H.$$

Now we study the induced representation  $(\pi, H)$  in theorem 6.2. It is easy to check that  $\Delta$ acts on smooth function in H as scalar  $\lambda$ . The basic idea is to restrict the representation to a maximal compact subgroup of G and consider the action of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Since  $K = SO(2,\mathbb{R})$  is a maximal compact subgroup of G, and K is abelian, all the irreducible unitary representations of K are one-dimensional, given by

$$\kappa_{\theta} \longmapsto e^{in\theta}$$
, for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Therefore, one has

$$H = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n,$$

where  $H_n = \{ \varphi \in H : \pi(\kappa_\theta) \varphi = e^{in\theta} \varphi \}.$ 

**Remark 2.** Since  $\pi((-I)^2) = \pi(I) = 1$ , we have  $\pi(-I) = (-1)^{\epsilon}$ ,  $\epsilon = 0$  or 1. For  $\varphi \in H_n$ ,

$$\pi(-I)\varphi = \pi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}\cos\pi & \sin\pi\\ -\sin\pi & \cos\pi\end{array}\right)\right)\varphi = e^{in\pi}\varphi = (-1)^{\epsilon}\varphi.$$

Thus  $H_n$  is a zero space if  $n \not\equiv \epsilon \pmod{2}$ . In fact, one has the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.3.** Assume that  $H_n \neq 0$ . We have 1)  $\dim H_n = 1$ , and  $H_n$  is a  $C^{\infty}$ -vector space. 2)  $H_n$  is the eigenspace of  $d\pi(W)$  with eigenvalue in. 3)  $d\pi(E_{\pm}) : H_n \to H_{n\pm 2}$ , i.e., for  $0 \neq \varphi \in H_n$ ,

$$d\pi(E_{\pm})\varphi_n = (s+1\pm n)\varphi_{n\pm 2}.$$

Since  $\triangle$  acts on H as multiplication, i.e.  $\triangle \varphi = \lambda \varphi$  with  $\lambda = \frac{1-s^2}{4}$  and  $\varphi \in H$ . By Proposition 6.3, if s satisfies

$$s+1 \equiv \epsilon \mod 2, \qquad s+1 = m \text{ for some } 0 < m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$(6.1)$$

then  $H_m$  is annihilated by  $d\pi(E_-)$ , and  $H_{-m}$  is annihilated by  $d\pi(E_+)$ . Thus we have the following two  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -invariant subspaces

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{n \equiv \epsilon \pmod{2} \\ n \geq m}} H_n \quad \text{and} \quad \bigoplus_{\substack{n \equiv \epsilon \pmod{2} \\ n \leq -m}} H_n.$$

If s does not satisfy (6.1), we have

$$\bigoplus_{n \equiv \epsilon \pmod{2}} H_n$$

is  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  invariant and has no non-trivial  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -invariant subspaces.

Based on the facts above, we can give a classification of the infinitesimal equivalent classes of irreducible representations of G. And then we consider the relation between infinitesimal equivalent classes and the equivalent classes of the irreducible unitary representations of G. We omit the details here, but only give the classification of the irreducible unitary representations.

According theorem 6.2, the irreducible unitary representations of G are given as following.

## Case 1. $s = it \in i\mathbb{R}$

In this case  $\chi$  is unitary. Via knowledge in representation theory, a representation induced from a unitary representation is unitary. Therefore  $\pi$  is unitary.

If  $s \neq 0$ , s does not satisfy (6.1). Thus  $\pi$  is an irreducible unitary representation. We denote it by  $\pi^{it,\epsilon}$  and call it *principal series*. The representation space has decomposition

$$\bigoplus_{n \equiv \epsilon \pmod{2}} H_n$$

If s = 0 and  $\epsilon = 0$ , then  $\chi$  is the trivial character.

If s = 0 and  $\epsilon = 1$ , then we know m = 1 is a solution of (6.1).  $\pi^{0,1}$  decomposes as sum of two irreducible unitary representation of G, i.e.

$$\pi^{0,1} = D_1^+ \bigoplus_{3} D_1^-,$$

where  $D_1^+$  and  $D_1^-$  have representation space

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{n \equiv \epsilon \\ n \ge 1 \pmod{2}}} H_n \quad \text{and} \bigoplus_{\substack{n \equiv \epsilon \\ n \le -1 \pmod{2}}} H_n,$$

respectively.  $D_1^+$  and  $D_1^-$  are called the limit of the discrete series.

Case 2.  $0 \neq s \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

If  $0 \neq s \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $s + 1 \equiv \epsilon \mod 2$ , there exists m = s + 1 satisfying (6.1) and  $\pi^{s,\epsilon}$  is not unitary. However, it contains two sub(or quotient) irreducible unitary representations  $D_{s+1}^+$  and  $D_{s+1}^-$  of which representation spaces are

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{n \equiv \epsilon \\ n \ge s+1 \pmod{2}}} H_n \quad \text{and} \bigoplus_{\substack{n \equiv \epsilon \\ n \le -(s+1) \pmod{2}}} H_n,$$

respectively. Such irreducible unitary representation are called *Discrete series*. For example,

$$\pi^{1,0} \supseteq D_2^+ \bigoplus D_2^-$$
 and  $\pi^{1,0} / (D_2^+ \bigoplus D_2^-) = H_0$   
 $\pi^{-1,0} / H_0 = D_2^+ \bigoplus D_2^-.$ 

and

$$\pi^{2,1} \supseteq D_3^+ \bigoplus D_3^-$$
 and  $\pi^{2,1} / (D_3^+ \bigoplus D_3^-) = H_1 \bigoplus H_{-1}$   
 $\pi^{-2,1} / (D_3^+ \bigoplus D_3^-) = H_1 \bigoplus H_{-1}.$ 

Case 3. -1 < s < 1 and  $\epsilon = 0$ 

In this case,  $\chi$  is not unitary. However,  $\pi^{s,0}$  is irreducible unitary representations. These representations are called *complement series*.

**Proposition 6.4.** The above argument gives the irreducible unitary representations as subset of  $\pi = \operatorname{ind}_B^G \chi$ . They are

- (1) the principle series  $\pi^{it,\epsilon}$  with  $t \neq 0$ ;
- (2) the complement series  $\pi^{s,0}$  with  $\epsilon = 0$  and -1 < s < 1,  $s \neq 0$ ;
- (3) the discrete series  $D_{s+1}^+$  and  $D_{s+1}^-$ , where  $0 < s \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $s+1 \equiv \epsilon \mod 2$ ;
- (4) the limit of discrete series  $D_1^+$  and  $D_1^-$ , with  $\epsilon = 1$  and s = 0;
- (5) the one-dimensional trivial representation 1 with  $\epsilon = 0$  and s = 0.

The irreducible unitary representations have a deep relation with the Casimir element (Laplace operator)  $\Delta$ . One can use the eigenvalue of  $\Delta$  to classify which irreducible representation occurs in  $L^2(\Gamma \setminus G)$ .

 $W_s(\Gamma)$  and  $S_k(\Gamma)$  can be embedded in  $L_0^2(\Gamma \setminus G) = \bigoplus_i H^i$ . This implies the discrete series  $D_k^+$ and  $D_k^-$  with  $\epsilon = 0$  and the principle or complement series  $\pi^{s,0}$  where s satisfies

$$\lambda = \frac{1-s^2}{4}, \qquad \lambda \text{ is the eignvalue of } \Delta^* \text{ on } W_s(\Gamma)$$

occur in  $L^2_0(\Gamma \setminus G)$ . Selberg conjectured that the complement series  $\pi^{s,0}$  (i.e. -1 < s < 1) never occurs for congruence group  $\Gamma_0(N)$ , i.e.  $\lambda > \frac{1}{4}$ . In fact, he proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.5.** For  $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , we have  $\lambda = \frac{1-s^2}{4} \ge \frac{3\pi}{2}$ ; for  $\Gamma$  a general congruence subgroup, we have  $\lambda \ge \frac{3}{16}$ .

**Remark 3.** The above result was improved to  $\lambda > \frac{3}{16}$  by Jacquet - Gelbart in 1976 by method of the Gelbart-Jacquet lift up to  $GL_3$ . Shahidi-Kim-Sanark have a better result by lifting up to  $GL_4$  and  $GL_5$ .